arXiv:1202.1207v2 [math.FA] 7 Feb 2012

An extension of Craig-Sakamoto theorem to several normal matrices

Minghua Lin

Abstract

An extension of Craig-Sakamoto theorem to k ($k \geq 2$) normal matrices is presented. The proof makes use of an early result by Djoković [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971) 19-23].

Keywords: Craig-Sakamoto theorem, normal matrices.
AMS subjects classification 2010: 15A15, 15A18, 15A24, 15A48

The Craig-Sakamoto theorem on the independence of two quadratic forms asserts that

Theorem 0.1. *Two $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices A and B satisfy*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \det(I_n - (xA + yB)) = \det(I_n - xA)\det(I_n - yB)$$

if and only if $AB = 0$.

Here and throughout, I_n stands for the identity matrix of order n.

This result arises much interest recently and there are several existing proofs in literature, see e.g., [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12]. Ogawa and Olkin [10] obtained a stronger version of Craig-Sakamoto theorem, their result can be stated as

Theorem 0.2. *Two $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices A and B satisfy*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \det(I_n - x(A + B)) = \det(I_n - xA)\det(I_n - xB)$$

if and only if $AB = 0$.

For a simple proof of Theorem 0.2, we refer to [3]. Very recently, an analytical proof of Theorem 0.2 can be found in [2].

In 1969, Brand [1] proved the following result concerning product of singular symmetric matrices:

Theorem 0.3. *Let A and B be real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices with $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r, 0, \cdots, 0$ and $0, \cdots, 0, \lambda_{r+1}, \cdots, \lambda_n$ ($\lambda_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$) as eigenvalues, respectively. If $A + B$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ then $AB = 0$.*

Theorem 0.3 was soon generalized by Djoković [5] to normal matrices (operators). Recall that a complex square matrix N is called a normal matrix if $N^*N = NN^*$, where N^* means the conjugate transpose of N. More precisely, Djoković obtained

Theorem 0.4. *[5] Let N_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be $n \times n$ normal matrices with nonzero eigenvalues denoted by $\lambda_1^{(i)}, \cdots, \lambda_{r_i}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_k \leq n$. If $N := \Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i$ has nonzero eigenvalues*

$$\lambda_j^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r_i, 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{0.1}$$

Then N is normal and $N_i N_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

After a careful examine of the proof of Theorem 0.4 given in [5, Theorem 3], we can slightly weaken the assumption (0.1). More generally, we can state the following strengthened version of Theorem 0.4:

Theorem 0.5. *Let N_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be $n \times n$ normal matrices with nonzero eigenvalues denoted by $\lambda_1^{(i)}, \cdots, \lambda_{r_i}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_k \leq n$. Also, let $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_p$ denote the nonzero eigenvalues of $N := \Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i$. The following three statements are equivalent*

1. *N has nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda_j^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.*
2. *$p = r_1 + \cdots + r_k$ and*
$$\Pi_{i=1}^k \Pi_{j=1}^{r_i} \lambda_j^{(i)} = \Pi_{i=1}^p \gamma_i.$$
3. *N is normal and $N_i N_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.*

In the proof of Theorem 0.4 (the same proof also works for Theorem 0.5), we may assume without loss of generality that N is nonsingular. In this case, another weaker assumption than (0.1) is

$$\operatorname{rank} N = \Sigma_{i=1}^k \operatorname{rank} N_i. \tag{0.2}$$

It is curious to know whether (0.1) can be replaced by (0.2). The answer is no, here is an example.

Example 0.6. Let

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 1+i & 0 \\ 1+i & 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, N_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i & 1+i \\ 0 & 1+i & 1+i \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see they are all normal, moreover, $\operatorname{rank} N = \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \operatorname{rank} N_i$. However, N is not normal.

Apparently, Djoković's result was overlooked by later authors. Our main result (see Theorem 0.7 below) is to present an extension of Theorem 0.2 to normal matrices. Our generalization may read as

Theorem 0.7. *Let N_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be $n \times n$ normal matrices, then*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \det(I_n - x\Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i) = \Pi_{i=1}^k \det(I_n - xN_i) \tag{0.3}$$

if and only if $N_iN_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof The "if" part is trivial, so we need only to show the "only if" part. The identity between polynomials with variable x

$$\det(I_n - x\Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i) = \Pi_{i=1}^k \det(I_n - xN_i)$$

can be restated in:

$$\forall t \neq 0, \quad t^{(k-1)n} \det(tI_n - \Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i) = \Pi_{i=1}^k \det(tI_n - N_i) \tag{0.4}$$

by putting $x = \frac{1}{t}$. From (0.4), we find that nonzero eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{i=1}^k N_i$ coincide with the union of nonzero eigenvalues of N_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$, i.e., the hypothesis of Theorem 0.4 hold, and so $N_iN_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. $\square$

Remark 0.8. Theorem 0.7 is not a trivial extension of the stronger version of Craig-Sakamoto theorem (i.e., Theorem 0.2), this is because the summation of normal matrices is no longer normal generally. In [8, Theorem 2], Matsuura made an extension of Theorem 0.1 to two normal matrices, however, his proof did not work for k ($k \geq 3$) normal matrices.

References

[1] L. Brand, On the product of singular symmetric matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1969), 377.

[2] X. Bonnefond, An analytical proof of Ogawas determinantal theorem, Aequat. Math. 83 (2012), 127-130.

[3] H. Carrieu, Close to the Craig-Sakamotos theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 777-779.

[4] H. Carrieu, P. Lassere, One more simple proof of the Craig-Sakamoto theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 1616-1619.

[5] D. Ž. Djoković, A determinantal inequality for projectors in a unitary space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971) 19-23.

[6] M.F. Driscoll, W.R. Gundberg, A history of the development of Craigs theorem, Amer. Statist. 40 (1986) 65-70.

[7] C.-K. Li, A simple proof of the Craig-Sakamotos theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 321 (2000) 281-283.

[8] M. Matsuura, On the Craig-Sakamoto theorem and Olkins determinantal result, Linear Algebra Appl. 364 (2003) 321-323.

[9] J. Ogawa, A history of the development of Craig-Sakamotos theorem from Japanese standpoint, Proc. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 41 (1993) 47-59.

[10] J. Ogawa, I. Olkin, A tale of two countries: The Craig-Sakamoto-Matusita theorem, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 138 (11) (2008) 3419-3428.

[11] I. Olkin, A determinental proof of the Craig-Sakamotos theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 264 (1997) 217-223.

[12] O. Taussky, On a matrix theorem of A.T. Craig and H. Hotelling, Indag. Math. 20 (1958) 139-141.

Minghua Lin
Department of Applied Mathematics,
University of Waterloo,
Waterloo, ON, Canada, N2L 3G1.
mlin87@ymail.com